

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,074	04/19/2001	Mark A. Maciver	GB900051US1	9566
46369	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI P.C.			CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M	
5 COLUMBI ALBANY, N			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2133	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.E.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/838,074	MACIVER, MARK	IVER, MARK A.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mujtaba K Chaudry	2133		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filir applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	ng a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba ) an amendment, affidavit, or other opeal (with appeal fee) in compliance	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR.41.31;	es the or (3) a	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.070 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months.	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the set o	of the final rejection.  IRST REPLY WAS FILE  a) and the appropriate extension  The appropriate extension  in final Office action; or (2)	D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	peal, but prior to the date of filing a 41.37 must be filed within two mon CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	n appeal brief. The No ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	otice of Appeal g the Notice of	
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further of  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beto)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in becappeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling and NOTE:  (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	consideration and/or search (see NC low); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re	OTE below); educing or simplifying		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1	•	Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(		omphant / unonamon	. (1 102 02 1).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears and was not earlier presented. Sion of the status of the claims after	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) entry is below or atta	ails to provide a (1). ched.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered to See Continuation Sheet.	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		

U.S. Patent and Traderhark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For example, Applicant contends, "...Byers' reference does not teach that the error correction to be performed on a different portion of the data processing system ...." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Byers clearly teaches (col. 2, lines 48-55) a recevier to receive data word and parity provided by the transmitter and then perform parity check. It is the Examiner's conclusion that the claims in the present application, as presented, are not patentably distinct or non-obviousover the prior arts of record.

